

Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Under Review

Overall Rating:	Needs Improvement
Decision:	Approve with qualifications: The project has issues that must be addressed before the project document can be approved. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00088936
Portfolio/Project Title:	Project to Promote Disability Inclusion Phase II
Portfolio/Project Date:	2022-01-01 / 2023-03-31

Strategic

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.
- 2: *The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.*
- 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

Evidence:

The project contributes to the current Country Programme Document (CPD) 2019-2023 and UNDAF outcome 2 and output indicators 1.1 and 1.2 (ref. Project document): By 2023, women and men in Cambodia, in particular the marginalized and vulnerable, benefit from expanded opportunities for decent work and technological innovations and participate in a growing, more productive and competitive economy, which is also fairer and environmentally sustainable. It also contributes to UNDAF output 4 (indicator 3.4).

Through its approach and strategy to mobilize national resources to implement the national programme, set up a monitoring system, to develop social protection system for persons with disabilities, the project aims to achieve three levels of change: legislative reform, institutional capacity development, and increased involvement and representation of rights holders in developing, monitoring, and implementing disability-inclusive policies and plans (page 5 of Prodoc).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_101 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_101.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:19:00 AM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan](#)¹ and adapts at least one [Signature Solution](#)². The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan⁴. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

The project contributes to eradicate poverty and to improve the well-being of persons with disabilities. It responds to the UNDP Strategic Plan 3.4: Capacity of persons with disabilities organizations and networks improved to advance the rights of persons with disabilities.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_102 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_102.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:21:00 AM

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNDAF Results Group Workplan/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for global projects/strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

The Project links to UNDAF outcome 2 (or CPD outcome 1); By 2023, women and men in Cambodia, in particular those marginalized and vulnerable, benefit from expanded opportunities for decent work and technological innovations; and participate in a growing, more productive and competitive economy, which is also fairer and environmentally sustainable (page 14).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_103 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_103.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:21:00 AM

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

4. Do the project target groups leave furthest behind?

- 3: *The target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.*
 2: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.
 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

Evidence:

The project aims to support and empower persons living with disabilities who were engaged in the design of the project. Disabled People Organizations are also identified as the project's partners. One of the project's approaches is to ensure their participation and inclusion in the decision making process. It clearly indicates the participation of the organisations of persons with disabilities and persons with disabilities including women with disabilities in the decision-making process and national mechanism platforms such as national working groups and sub-committees. The project also plans to engage with women with disabilities forum to ensure the voices of women and girls with disabilities are heard and addressed throughout the project implementation with the partner as a duty bearer.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_104 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_104.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:23:00 AM

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.
- 2: *The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.*
- 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

Evidence:

The project has been designed based on the successful implementation of the UN Joint Programme “Programme to Promote Disability Inclusion and Improve Quality Service of Gender-Based Violence Survivor”. Its evaluation, lessons learned, and other research undertaken by UNDP and other partners including in relation to the impact of covid-19 on PwD informed the design of the project (page 3).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.*
- 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

Evidence:

An analysis of partners (pages 8 and 9) was provided with an indication of what is expected from each partner. Over the past several years, UNDP has been implementing disabilities related programmes in collaboration with other agencies including WHO, UNICEF, DFAT, the Ministry of Social Affairs, and the Disabled People Organization. While WHO focuses on health-related matters and UNICEF on the right of children with disabilities, UNDP's roles have been focusing on policy development, monitoring, capacity development, and strengthening the capacity and voice of persons with disabilities. UNDP plays the executing role in implementing the activities in partnership with national partners and the ACCESS team. The project also contributes to south-south and triangular cooperation via developing the guidelines on disability-inclusive social protection to promote disability inclusion in social protection rules, regulations and programmes. The project will seek advice, input, and recommendations from the regional offices and disability networks in ASEAN countries as well as global networks to ensure the guideline is well designed and friendly to use for all users (page 10).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Principled**Quality Rating: Needs Improvement**

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

- 3: *The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)
- 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.

Evidence:

The design was guided by the human rights approach and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, non-discrimination and leaving no one behind. For instance, the project will work with disabled people organizations and the government to finalize the new disability law that complies with the UNCRPD as the international treaty and national priorities. The project will conduct wider and in-depth consultation with different stakeholders including the line ministries, organisations of persons with disabilities, development partners, UN agencies, Civil Society Organisations working in human rights and private sectors (page 5).

The project will focus on the participation of the persons with disabilities including women with disabilities in the decision-making process and national mechanism platforms such as national working groups and sub-committees. The project also aims to work with women with disabilities forum to ensure the voices of women and girls with disabilities are heard and addressed throughout the project implementation, for instance, the consultation process of the DISP guideline, NDSP reflection workshop and DAC coordination meeting.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

- 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)
- 2: A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)
- 1: *The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.*

Evidence:

Gender analysis was carried out to address gender issues on disability. Yet, the proposed solution and approach do not substantially reflect the issues identified in the analysis.

Management Response:**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_108 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_108.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:29:00 AM

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

- 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)*
- 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

Evidence:

The project is designed to build the resilience of persons with disabilities to social and economic shocks and to enhance the capacity of duty barriers and rights holders on disability and GBV support services and policy. The project interventions will contribute to sustaining livelihoods and improving the well-being of persons with disabilities, especially through the implementation of the Guidelines on the Disability-Inclusive Social Protection. This will further efforts to improve the economic and social condition of the local communities and will also be responsive to their cultural traditions.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of reports, coordination of events, trainings, workshops, meetings, conferences and/or communication materials and information dissemination. [if yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, provide the reason for the exemption in the evidence section.]

- Yes
- No
- SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)
- 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
 - 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
 - 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
 - 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
 - 5: Global/regional projects with no country-level activities(e.g.activities such as knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
 - 6: UNDP serves as Administrative Agent
 - 7: Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects

Evidence:

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Risk Category	Risk Requirements	Document Status	Modified By	Modified On
1	01_SES_Checklist_PPDI_2_0104_2022-Clean_1299_7_11_0.docx)	Low		Final	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:35:00 AM

Management & MonitoringQuality Rating: **Satisfactory**

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

Evidence:

The project results framework is formulated with sound outcomes, sex-disaggregated indicators, outputs, and activities (pages 13-14). It also corresponds to the Theory of Change. The proposed indicators are measurably backed by baselines and targets.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_111 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_111.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:37:00 AM

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

Evidence:

The Project Document indicates the project's structure (page 12) including the board, the roles, and responsibilities of the board members.

The project indicated that the project board will hold regular project reviews of the project performance, a project work plan and capture the lessons learned with relevant audiences. The board members are composed of representatives from MoWA, MoSVY, DAC, MEF, the Australian Embassy, a representative of persons with disabilities, and the ACCESS Team (page 12).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_112 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_112.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:39:00 AM

13. Have the project risks been identified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

- 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)
- 2: *Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk log based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.*
- 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

Evidence:

The ProDoc (pages 9 and 10) identifies four risks and its management plan (risks and assumptions section in the Prodoc). Different analysis and situation analysis, capacity assessment, and gender analysis are included in the Prodoc.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Efficient

Quality Rating: Exemplary

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include, for example:

- i) Using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available.
- ii) Using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions.
- iii) Through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
- iv) Sharing resources or coordinating delivery with other projects.
- v) Using innovative approaches and technologies to reduce the cost of service delivery or other types of interventions.

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

The project will be implemented by UNDP using Direct Implementation Modality (DIM). The cost of project management teams (Project Coordinator, Project Assistance, Communication, and Advocacy Specialist) are shared with other projects.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- 3: *The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.*
- 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

Evidence:

Section VII/Total Budget and Work Plan explains how different activities will be financed in the multi-year timeframe. The budget is broken down at the activities level and timeframe. The project is fully funded.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_115 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_115.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:42:00 AM

16. Is the Country Office / Regional Hub / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- 3: *The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)*
- 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.
- 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

Evidence:

The project budget including donor and UNDP funds covers all activities planned as well as project management cost and cost recovery. It also included the detailed budget line including policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, personnel, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information, and communications.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

17. Have targeted groups been engaged in the design of the project?

- 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- 2: Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.
- 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

The direct beneficiaries who are persons with disabilities were actively engaged throughout the consultation processes including the field mission and a series of consultative workshops during the formulation. The project will involve them as active participants or partners in the implementation. Two of the members of the Board are persons with disabilities (a teacher at the Royal University of Phnom Penh, and a representative of women with disabilities group).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lessons learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

The ProDoc has a clear M&E plan according to the UNDP POPP. The M&E plan includes a budget and timeframe (Section VI of the ProDoc). Based on this, progress and challenges will be regularly reviewed and adjustments will be made if required.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

Management Response:

The project is Gen1. While gender analysis was conducted, it does not translate into output or activities. One of the 5 indicators is sex-disaggregated. And it is not clear how the project will address the gender issues identified in the analysis.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Needs Improvement

20. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?

- 3: National partners (or regional/global partners for regional and global projects) have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
- 2: *The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.*
- 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

Evidence:

The project was designed in consultation with disabled people organization, the Disability Action Council, CSOs, and other relevant stakeholders inc. the ACC ESS team.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

21. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.
- 2: *A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.*
- 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

UNDP conducted the HACT assessment of the Disability Action Council (DAC) by an external firm in 2020. The risk identified is low. There is a plan in place to strengthen the institutional capacity and system of the DAC as mentioned in the strategy section (page 5).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Final2020MicroAssessmentReport-DAC_12997_121 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Final2020MicroAssessmentReport-DAC_12997_121.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:48:00 AM
2	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_121 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_121.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:49:00 AM

22. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc..) to the extent possible?

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Evidence:

The project is a DIM and UNDP rules and procedures are used. The project supports the Government to develop and improve the M&E system and tools such as the Accessible Mobile App that will be used to track the progress of the National Disability Strategic Plan implementation (page 11).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

23. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

The project has no specific exit plan yet. However, The project layouts clear sustainability and scaling up approach and will develop an exit strategic plan with the DAC as explained on page 10. The project is also expanding its partnership with the government to enhance disability-inclusive social protection by engaging them in the consultation process of the development guidelines and training.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_123 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPDI_2_Prodoc_8.03.2021_FINAL.docx_12997_123.pdf)	mao.meas@undp.org	5/20/2022 9:53:00 AM

QA Summary/LPAC Comments